28

E-Filed 09/17/2010 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 12 YVONNE HODGE, Case No. C 09-04719 RS 13 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 14 OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL 15 DISTRICT, BOARD OF EDUCATION as 16 Trustees, KIM NOBLE, as an individual and in official capacity, LISA RYAN COYLE, as 17 an individual and in official capacity, PHYLLIS HARRIS, in individual, İRIS 18 WESSELMAN, as an individual and official capacity, 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 On July 7, 2010 the Court issued an order granting a motion to dismiss and denying a 23 motion for a more definite statement filed by defendants Oakland Unified School District, Board 24 of Education ("Board"), Anthony Smith, Iris Wesselman, Kim Noble, and Lisa Cole (the 25 "District Defendants"). Plaintiff Yvonne Hodge filed her second amended complaint on July 26, 26 27

2010 (the "SAC").¹ The District Defendants then filed a second motion to dismiss, which is set to be heard in this Court on September 23, 2010. Hodge has filed her opposition to the motion dismiss, to which the District Defendants have replied. The matter is appropriate for resolution without oral argument pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b) and the motion hearing set for **September 23, 2010** is **vacated**. The Court will issue a written order on the motion to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 09/17/2010

RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Defendants Smith, Roberta Mayor, and Jack O'Connell, who were each named as defendants in the first amended complaint (the "FAC"), were not named in the SAC. Additionally, the Board, which was named individually and as Trustees in the FAC, was named only as Trustees in the SAC, and Phyllis Harris, who was named in both her individual and official capacity in the FAC, was named solely as an individual in the SAC. According to the District Defendant's motion to dismiss filed on August 9, 2010, pro se defendant Harris is deceased.